

to terminate this debate and quit acting like horses following him around Senator Murphy's track on a Sunday afternoon and get down to some serious business here. I move the previous question.

PRESIDENT: Previous question has been moved. Does the Chair see five hands? Thank you.....The question is shall the debate now close. All those in favor of closing the debate vote aye, those opposed vote no. Have you all voted? Once again, have you all voted? Clerk will record.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 1 nay.

PRESIDENT: The debate will now close. Senator DeCamp is now closing on his motion to reconsider the action on 972, Senator DeCamp has the floor and is closing.

SENATOR DE CAMP: Mr. President, members of the legislature, just to cover some of the arguments made on both sides and we can see where the truth lies by looking at the words and the comparisons. Three of the persons that got up said that I was wrong in what I had stated, but not one of them said how I was wrong. So lets look at what I said. I said that it is an act of bribery. Bribery as I define it is somebody offering you a bundle of money as a state legislator or as an official to do something that they want. The Federal Government said look, we will give you three million dollars this year if you will pass this bill and set up this system of day care centers. Is that wrong? Is that bribery? Or not. I think that it is. The statement was made by Senator Lewis and I believe that by Senator Chambers that all we were doing was establishing a little baby sitting service. The first year our baby sitting service cost five or four million dollars. That is just the pilot program. There is nothing in that bill not a word that restricts the state or federal government from setting up any kind of baby sitting service that they want. For five million dollars you can set up one hell of a program of commune's or whatever you want to call it. I compare, I compared the system that is being planned to that that exists in Peking or Moscow and they decried this Senator Lewis and Senator Chambers and said that this is absolutely false that there is no such plan, and then the sponsor of the bill Senator Kelly said that well wait, that is kind of what we have in mind, because that it is the greatest thing that we have got going. That system over there has really worked. Maybe it worked in certain ways, but it has destroyed the integrity of the family. I said that this is evidence that the welfare system isn't working as it is now, and that they then stated that this is going to help make it work which is an admission that it isn't working. The suggestion was made yesterday as I understand that if we were really interested in these children, that we will figure a way to keep the mother with them and raise them rather than raising the children ourselves. Now all that I am asking at this time in this motion is that you bring the bill back and take a day or two days and look it over and consult some people and study and see what it really says. It does not put any limitations at all as to what kind of system can be set up or how wide that it can expand or what can be done. All it says is here are four or five million dollars, Mr. State Agency Official you go set up whatever kind of system that you want. That is all the bill says. So at least if you are insistant on having this kind of legislation